A new strain of coronavirus named as 2019-NCOV/COVID-19 appeared in Wuhan, China, at the end of 2019. Health professionals worldwide are highly vulnerable to acquiring and transmitting COVID-19. Increased work stress of health professionals can lead to weakened immune systems, and management of patients intimately can result in exposure to a higher viral load. Despite delivering selfless service on behalf of their communities, health professionals face many social stigmas during this outbreak. Physicians faced...
death during plague outbreaks in medieval Europe, during a yellow fever outbreak in Philadelphia in 1793, during the Ebola epidemic in 2014, and now as well when COVID-19 affects countries around the globe.\(^3\)

Anxiety is an emotion we all experience in a situation that is threatening or difficult. The anxiety abates when you get used to the situation, when the situation changes, or if you just leave. It is generally expected from physicians to quietly endure physical or emotional suffering.\(^4\) However, the COVID-19 pandemic inflicted a substantial mental toll on health professionals, especially in frontline health professionals and nursing staff.\(^5\) Paediatricians will be dealing with the short-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and the long-term indirect impact it will incur on children even after the pandemic is over. The prevalence of anxiety was found to be 14.0% in pediatric medical staff in Jiangsu province of China during an outbreak of COVID-19.\(^6\) Hence, it seemed essential to determine the mental wellbeing of paediatricians in Pakistan also. According to a study conducted in Pakistan, paediatric residents experienced substantial physical, emotional and social stress during COVID-19.\(^7\) Another Pakistani study was able to prove that greater exhaustion, greater family strain, and reduced feelings of protection impacted on levels of anxiety among physicians.\(^8\) Similarly an elaborate study conducted in a large tertiary care center in Lahore, Pakistan concluded that, high psychological distress was experienced by HCWs caring for COVID-19 patients.\(^9\) Paediatricians will be dealing with the short-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and the long-term indirect impact it will incur on children even after the pandemic is over. Therefore, this study was planned to determine the frequency and severity of generalized anxiety disorder among paediatricians during the COVID-19 pandemic and also no local study was available in which paediatricians only were taken into consideration. This study was carried out despite the fact that many studies were already being published while we were collecting the data. One, only paediatricians were included in the study as although children were not among the most effected population during the pandemic but paediatricians will be dealing with the long term effects of this pandemic on the paediatric population in terms of missed vaccinations, missed school days, behavioural problems while away from school and peers, and emerging issues like MIS-C.

Methods

Institutional Review Board of King Edward Medical University approved the study (IRB no. 380/RC/KEMU dated: 16/06/2020). This cross-sectional, observational study was conducted by the Department of Paediatrics, King Edward Medical University / Mayo Hospital Lahore from June 2020 to August 2020. The sample size was not predetermined. Due to lockdown and social distancing, the paediatricians were approached through an online survey form generated by incorporating the Generalized Anxiety Score (GAD 7). The GAD-7 score was calculated by assigning scores on a 4-point Likert scale: 0 (not at all), 1 (several days), 2 (more than half the days), and 3 (nearly every day), and adding together the scores for the seven questions. Scores of 5, 10, and 15 were taken as the cut-off points for mild, moderate and severe anxiety respectively. Using the threshold score of 10, the GAD-7 has a sensitivity of 89% and a specificity of 82% for GAD.\(^10\) A few more questions were asked from the participants regarding their work performance along with the GAD 7 scale during the initial stages of the pandemic. Paediatricians from both private and public institutions were approached. Demographic variables included age, gender, place of work, and designation was recorded. Responders who already suffered GAD before the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic as per their provided information were excluded.

Data was analyzed through SPSS 26.0. Quantitative variables (age, GAD-score) were summarised as mean and standard deviation. Qualitative variables (gender, place of work, individual GAD- component score) were summarised as frequency and percentages. Data was stratified based on age, gender, and seniority. Chi-square test was applied and a p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 147 responses were obtained during the study period. Thirteen paediatricians were excluded because of generalised anxiety disorder even before the pandemic. Hence, 134 participants were included in the final data analysis. Fifty-four (40.3%) respondents were males while 80 (59.7%) were females. Out of the total of 134 respondents, 106 (79.1%) were found to have Generalized Anxiety Disorder; 69 (69.1%) females and 37 (30.9%) males (p-value 0.013). Of 106 respon-
students who turned out to be suffering from GAD, 62 (46.3%) had mild while 37 (27.6%) and 7 (5.2%) had moderate and severe anxiety disorder, respectively.

The difference between the male and female responses pertaining to the individual statements used in GAD scoring is given in Table. About, 58 (72.5%) females paediatricians reported feeling nervous or on edge compared to 27 (50%) of males (p value= 0.008). Seventy-two (90%) females were unable to stop or control worrying, while only 26 (66.7%) males reported this problem (p-value 0.001). Moreover, 75 (93.8%) females said that were fearful that something awful might happen to them as compared to 42 (77.8%) of their male colleagues (p-value 0.006).

The work performance was affected in 43 (32.6%) males and 57 (43.2%) females (p-value 0.388) while training was affected in 46 (34.8%) males and 62 (47%) females (p-value 0.404). However, 54 (40.9%) females reported that COVID-19 had affected their general aptitude at work as compared to 49 (37.1%) males (p-value 0.001).

All the respondents were stratified into two age categories of less than 30 years and more than 30 years. There was no statistical difference in Generalized anxiety disorder between the two age groups - 65 (32.6%) and 45 (32.6%) in less than 30 years of age and more than 30 years of age, respectively (p-value 0.323). There was no statistical difference in work performance, training, and general aptitude between the two age groups, as shown in the Table 1.

**Discussion**

In our study, most responding paediatricians, 80 (59.7%) were younger than 30 years of age, similar to that by Arshad et al having 66.4% of respondents less than 30 years of age and 77.7% of them being postgraduate trainees. They demonstrated that among doctors suffering GAD, 27.8% and 23.9% had mild and moderate symptoms while 9.7% suffered severe anxiety and also found 67.9% of female doctors to suffer anxiety as compared to 56.3% males (p-value 0.014).12

According to our results, 106 (79.1%) paediatricians showed generalized anxiety disorder, similar to the results by Hassan et al who found 63.6% of health care workers suffering varying degrees of GAD. They also exhibited that only 3.3% of doctors suffered severe anxiety symptoms while 45.7% and 14.6% had mild and moderate anxiety, respectively.13 Our study results showed 46.3% and 27.6% paediatricians suffering mild

---

**Table 1: Distribution of GAD Variable Responses According to Age and Gender**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GAD-Variable</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Less than 30 years</th>
<th>More than 30 years</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feeling nervous, anxious or on edge</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>27 (50%)</td>
<td>58 (72.5%)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>51 (38.1%)</td>
<td>34 (25.4%)</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not being able to stop or control worrying</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>36 (66.7%)</td>
<td>72 (90%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>66 (49.2%)</td>
<td>42 (31.3%)</td>
<td>0.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worrying too much about different things</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>44 (81.5%)</td>
<td>71 (88.8%)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>70 (52.6%)</td>
<td>44 (38%)</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trouble relaxing</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>37 (68.5%)</td>
<td>62 (77.5%)</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>63 (47)</td>
<td>36 (26.9%)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being so restless that it is difficult to sit still</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>22 (40.7%)</td>
<td>32 (40.0%)</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>37 (27.6%)</td>
<td>17 (12.7%)</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Becoming easily annoyed or irritable</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>18 (33.5%)</td>
<td>28 (35.0%)</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>23 (17.2%)</td>
<td>23 (17.2%)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling afraid as if something awful will happen</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>42 (77.8%)</td>
<td>75 (93.8%)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>72 (57.7%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>37 (68.5%)</td>
<td>69 (86.3%)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>65 (32.6%)</td>
<td>45 (32.6%)</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on work performance</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>43 (32.6%)</td>
<td>57 (43.2%)</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>64 (64%)</td>
<td>36 (36%)</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on training</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>46 (34.8%)</td>
<td>62 (47%)</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>67 (62%)</td>
<td>41 (38%)</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect on general aptitude</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>49 (37.1%)</td>
<td>54 (40.9%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>65 (63.1%)</td>
<td>38 (36.9%)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to moderate anxiety while 5.2% have severe anxiety symptoms as per GAD-7 score. While Al Ateeq et al found 51.4% of healthcare workers, including administrators, nursing staff, physicians and pharmacists, suffering from anxiety,14 Ma Y et al reported 24% of healthcare providers suffering from anxiety out of a small sample size of only 34. The reason for a relatively small proportion of them suffering GAD may be that all of them sought psychological help via online consultation at the start of the pandemic by themselves.15 Hassan et al and Al Ateeq et al also concluded that female health professionals were more predisposed towards developing anxiety and depression symptoms and with increased severity.13,14 In our study 69 (65.1%) of GAD suffering paediatricians were females.

While Sandesh et al found that 85.7% of healthcare workers working in COVID-19 isolation wards suffered moderate to severe anxiety, a possible reason for such a high percentage may be fear and apprehension due to direct exposure to COVID-19 infected patients.16 Almater et al also found anxiety levels to be high among female ophthalmologists.17 Filho et al demonstrated a positive relationship between female medical students and higher GAD-7 scores with a p-value of 0.015.18 Moreover, Al Ateeq et al found an age group of 30-39 years to be more prone to anxiety and depression.14 Malik et al found females, postgraduate residents and HCW with less than five years of clinical experience had significantly higher fears regarding COVID-19, contrarily age group of above 30 years was more at risk of workplace phobia than their younger counterparts which may adversely affect their work performance.19 While Arshad et al didn’t find any difference of anxiety symptoms among different age groups, results similar to our study.12 Abdelghani et al reported that 28% and 29% of physicians suffered moderate to severe anxiety and depression respectively, females being in a higher proportion. Fear of COVID-19 infection was negatively correlated with personal accomplishment and all quality of life domains.20 Amin et al established that 43% of Pakistani frontline physicians suffered anxiety and depression during the COVID-19 pandemic and emphasized the need to address the mental health of caregivers and evaluate the productivity of interventions to the psychological wellbeing of physicians.21 Failure to identify symptoms of anxiety may lead to serious psychological and behavioural consequences.22 This is of utmost importance to screen paediatricians for mental health issues as they are caregivers of children and adolescents who themselves are a vulnerable subgroup regarding mental health issues.23 It is imperative to improve governmental and social support for physicians to reduce anxiety, workload and family strain in order to improve their perception of protection and ultimately patient safety.24

King Edward Medical University and affiliated hospitals administration took some considerable measures to help doctors, nurses and allied professionals during the pandemic situation. However it is pertinent to mention that significant work is still needed to be done on the child and adolescent mental health. The importance of mental wellbeing became a subject of vital importance during the COVID-19 pandemic and somewhat helped in minimizing the stigma attached with seeking help and treatment for mental health. Although, it will take much effort and time to prioritize mental wellbeing in a less developed country like Pakistan.

This study was conducted in the first wave when there was a shortage of personal protective equipment, very limited knowledge about the new disease and its management, and no vaccine was licensed. But it’s a way forward to a new study in the second wave to find any difference from the previous one, especially with new vaccines being approved.

**Conclusion**

More than three-fourths of paediatricians were found to have new-onset anxiety disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic, but with varying severity regarding the gender and the age group.
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