

# Editorial

## The Rise of ChatGPT: Can Machines Really Replace Human Interaction?

Saira Afzal,<sup>1</sup> Ammad Waheed Khan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dean of Public Health & Preventive Medicine/ Chief Editor, Annals of King Edward Medical University, Lahore;

<sup>2</sup>Postgraduate Resident, Department of Community Medicine, King Edward Medical University, Lahore

**Correspondence:** ammadwaheedkhan@live.com

**A**rtificial intelligence (AI) can be characterized as the multidisciplinary approach of computer science and robust dataset that tries to make machines equipped for performing those works that ordinarily require human knowledge. These works include the capacity to learn, adjust, legitimize, comprehend, and understand conceptual ideas as well as the reactivity to complex human credits like consideration, feeling, innovativeness, and so forth. The promising utility of artificial intelligence in medical services has been illustrated with potential advantages in customized medication, drug revelation, and the examination of huge datasets besides the likely applications to further develop conclusions and clinical choices.<sup>1</sup>

A new debated issue in the digitalized world has been man-made consciousness (artificial intelligence), especially that of ChatGPT. "ChatGPT" is a computer-based intelligence based on huge message datasets in different languages with the capacity to create human-like reactions to message input, created by Open AI (Open AI, L.L.C., San Francisco, CA, USA). ChatGPT derivation is connected with being a chatbot (a program ready to comprehend and produce reactions utilizing a text-based interface) and depends on the generative pre-prepared transformer (GPT) design.<sup>2</sup>

ChatGPT can respond to questions and compose various

written content, including articles, social media posts, essays, code, and emails. Researchers and the scholar community have gotten blended reactions to this tool regarding its benefits vs its risks. On the other hand, ChatGPT, among different large language models (LLMs), can be helpful in conversational and composing different tasks, helping to build the effectiveness and exactness of the necessary output. This is not a web search tool, reference custodian or even Wikipedia; introducing genuine information isn't planned.<sup>3</sup> In that capacity, a few teachers and content specialists have proactively found blemishes in the numerical and logical result it delivered. Teachers have additionally found that it will create references and reference records that look genuine, but it does not exist. Furthermore, the utility of artificial intelligence chatbots in the medical field is a fascinating region to test. This relates to the gigantic data and different ideas that medical services underudies are expected to get a handle on.

Microsoft likewise declared that ChatGPT would be incorporated into Bing to make a more extravagant inquiry and growth opportunity.<sup>4</sup> With the world's biggest innovation organizations contending to coordinate GPT innovation into their apparatuses, new roads of simulated intelligence investigation are not too far off for the field of schooling.

While it tends to be useful in numerous ways, there are couple of risks using ChatGPT, for example, expecting that it produces trustworthy outcomes, privileging reproduced knowledge made text over human-made text, offering individual and sensitive data, dismissing



### Production and Hosting by KEMU

<https://doi.org/10.21649/akemu.v30i4.5838>

2079-7192/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Annals of KEMU on behalf of King Edward Medical University Lahore, Pakistan.

This is an open access article under the CC BY4.0 license  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

the terms of direction, and expanding the mechanized segment. Furthermore, security concerns and the capability of digital assaults with the spread of deception using LLMs ought to likewise be considered. In medical care practice and scholarly composition, real mistakes, moral issues, and the apprehension about abuse including the spread of falsehood ought to be considered.<sup>5</sup>

Chat GPT and its substitutions can provide teachers and students with equal opportunity to improve their learning, a significant level of creating support, and bearing an innovative thinking. Moreover, with the execution of any new advancement, regardless, its usage conveys numerous risks and the potential for abuse. Deception and predisposition tracked down inside ChatGPT's reactions, combined with occasions of cheating and copyright infringement, have stressed instructive experts. While certain locale and organizations have acted rapidly to boycott ChatGPT, we rather accept, alongside Kranzberg, (1986) that "innovation is neither great nor awful; nor is it impartial" (p. 545).<sup>6</sup>

As the world discusses the instructive and cultural consequences of ChatGPT and artificial intelligence, what stays clear is that the turn of events and improvement of this kind of innovation indicate that things are not pulling back. Instructors, overseers, and policymakers must proactively look to teach themselves and their understudies on the most proficient method to utilize these devices both ethically and morally. Instruc-

tors ought to likewise comprehend the limits of utilizing man-made intelligence apparatuses and that, while each innovation presents both affordances and difficulties, they additionally accompany their own inserted risks.

## References

1. Sarker IH. AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems. *SN Comput. Sci.* 2022;3(2):158.
2. Zhang Y, Gondala S, Fraga-Silva T, Gysel CV. Server-side rescored of spoken entity-centric knowledge queries for virtual assistants. *Int. J. Speech Technol.* 2024; 5(5):1-9.
3. Ansari AN, Ahmad S, Bhutta SM. Mapping the global evidence around the use of ChatGPT in higher education: A systematic scoping review. *Educ Inf Technol.* 2024; 29(9):11281-321.
4. Jansen BJ, Jung SG, Salminen J. Employing large language models in survey research. *J. Nat. Lang. Process.* 2023;4(5):100020.
5. Haverkamp W, Tennenbaum J, Strodthoff N. ChatGPT fails the test of evidence-based medicine. *EHJ-DH.* 2023;4(5):366-7.
6. Bahroun Z, Anane C, Ahmed V, Zacca A. Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Int. J. Sustain.* 2023; 15(17): 12983.